

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURIAA  
WESTERN DIVISION

MICHAEL P. AND SHELLIE GILMOR,  
ET AL.,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

PREFERRED CREDIT CORPORATION,  
ET AL.,

Defendants.

Case No. 10-0189-CV-W-ODS

**FINAL APPROVAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT APPROVING CLASS ACTION  
SETTLEMENT AND CERTIFYING A CLASS FOR SETTLEMENT PURPOSES**

Upon careful review and consideration of the Settlement and Release Agreement dated December 3, 2012 (the “Agreement”), between Plaintiffs, Michael E. and Lois Harris, Leo E. Parvin, Jr., Patricia Ann Worthy, Derrick and Alethia Rockett, William Hudson, James and Kathleen Woodward, and Debra Mooney (the “Named Plaintiffs”), and Defendants, Deutsche Bank National Trust Company, formerly known as Bankers Trust Company of California, N.A. (“DBNTC”), individually and in its capacities as indenture trustee of the Impac Secured Assets CMN Trust Series 1998-1, Impac CMB Trust Series 1999-2, Impac CMB Trust Series 2000-2, Impac CMB Trust Series 2001-4, Impac CMB Trust Series 2002-1, Impac CMB Trust Series 2003-5, and Impac Real Estate Asset Trust Series 2006-SD1 (collectively the “Settling Defendants”), the evidence and arguments of counsel as presented at the Fairness Hearing held on March 6, 2013, the memoranda filed with this Court, and all other filings in connection with the Parties’ settlement as memorialized in the Agreement (the “Settlement”); and for good cause shown,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED as follows:

1. **Incorporation of Other Documents.** This Order incorporates and makes the following a part hereof:

- a. The Agreement, filed with the Court on or about December 3, 2012; and
- b. The following exhibits to the Agreement: (i) Schedule A (Proposed Distribution Schedule of “Net Distributable Settlement Fund,” **filed under seal**); (ii) Schedule B (Proposed Schedule of Incentive Awards); (iii) Exhibit A (Proposed Class Mail Notice); (v) Exhibit B (Proposed Order Preliminarily Approving the Class Action Settlement); (vi) Exhibit C (Proposed Final Approval Order and Judgment); (vii) Exhibit D (list of members of the DBNTC Trusts Settlement Class, **filed under seal**);
- c. Unless otherwise provided herein, all capitalized terms in this Order shall have the same meaning as those terms in the Agreement. As in the Agreement, “DBNTC Trusts” shall mean the Impac Secured Assets CMN Trust Series 1998-1, Impac CMB Trust Series 1999-2, Impac CMB Trust Series 2000-2, Impac CMB Trust Series 2001-4, Impac CMB Trust Series 2002-1, Impac CMB Trust Series 2003-5, and Impac Real Estate Asset Trust Series 2006-SD1.

2. **Jurisdiction.** Because adequate notice was disseminated and all potential members of the DBNTC Trusts Settlement Class (as defined below) were given notice of and an opportunity to opt out of the Settlement, the Court has personal jurisdiction over all members of the DBNTC Trusts Settlement Class. The Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the Litigation, including, without limitation, jurisdiction to approve the proposed Settlement, to grant final certification of the DBNTC Trusts Settlement Class, and to dismiss the Litigation against the Settling Defendants and the DBNTC Trusts with prejudice.

3. **Final Class Certification.** The DBNTC Trusts Settlement Class, which this Court previously certified preliminarily, is hereby finally certified for settlement purposes pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 23, the Court finding that for purposes of settlement the DBNTC Trusts Settlement Class fully satisfies all of the applicable requirements of Rule 23 and due process.

The DBNTC Trusts Settlement Class is defined as follows:

All persons who, on or after June 27, 1994, obtained a “Second Mortgage Loan,” as defined in Mo.Rev.Stat. § 408.231.1, that was secured in whole or in part by a mortgage or a deed of trust on residential real property located in the state of Missouri, that was originated by Preferred Credit Corporation (f/k/a T.A.R. Preferred Mortgage Corporation), and that was purchased by, assigned or conveyed to, or otherwise owned and/or held by Deutsche Bank National Trust Company (“DBNTC”), formerly known as Bankers Trust Company of California, N.A., individually and in its capacities as indenture trustee of the Impac Secured Assets CMN Trust Series 1998-1, Impac CMB Trust Series 1999-2, Impac CMB Trust Series 2000-2, Impac CMB Trust Series 2001-4, Impac CMB Trust Series 2002-1, Impac CMB Trust Series 2003-5, and Impac Real Estate Asset Trust Series 2006-SD1, and who did not timely exercise their right and option to opt out and exclude themselves from the litigation class that the Circuit Court of Clay County, Missouri previously certified on January 2, 2003, in *Gilmor v. Preferred Credit Corporation*, Case No. CV100-4263-CC.

No members of the DBNTC Trusts Settlement Class timely requested to be excluded from or “opted out” of the DBNTC Trusts Settlement Class. Robert and Lillian Ellis attempted to request an opt out and then withdrew their attempt to request an opt out prior to the final opt out date.

4. **Adequacy of Representation.** There are no apparent conflicts of interest between the Named Plaintiffs and the DBNTC Trusts Settlement Class, or among the members of the DBNTC Trusts Settlement Class. Plaintiffs’ Counsel will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the DBNTC Trusts Settlement Class. Accordingly, the Named Plaintiffs and R. Frederick Walters, Kip D. Richards, David M. Skeens, J. Michael Vaughan, and Garrett M. Hodes of the firm Walters Bender Strohhahn & Vaughan, P.C. (“Plaintiffs’ Counsel” or “Class Counsel”), have satisfied the requirements of Rule 23 and are hereby appointed and

approved as representatives of the DBNTC Trusts Settlement Class and Plaintiffs' Counsel for the DBNTC Trusts Settlement Class, respectively.

5. **Class Notice.** The Court finds that the Class Mail Notice and its distribution to the DBNTC Trusts Settlement Class as implemented pursuant to the Agreement and the Preliminary Approval Order:

a. Constituted the best practicable notice to the members of the DBNTC Trusts Settlement Class under the circumstances of this Litigation;

b. Constituted notice that was reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise the members of the DBNTC Trusts Settlement Class of (i) the pendency of this Litigation and the proposed Settlement, (ii) their right to exclude themselves from the DBNTC Trusts Settlement Class and the proposed Settlement, (iii) their right to object to any aspect of the proposed Settlement (including, but not limited to, the following: final certification of the DBNTC Trusts Settlement Class; the fairness, reasonableness or adequacy of the Settlement as proposed; the adequacy of the Named Plaintiffs and/or Plaintiffs' Counsel's representation of the DBNTC Trusts Settlement Class; the proposed awards of attorney's fees and expenses; and the proposed incentive award), (iv) their right to appear at the Fairness Hearing if they did not exclude themselves from the DBNTC Trusts Settlement Class, and (v) the binding effect of the Orders and Final Approval Order and Judgment in the Litigation on all members of the DBNTC Trusts Settlement Class who did not request exclusion;

c. Constituted notice that was reasonable and constituted due, adequate and sufficient notice to all persons and entities entitled to be provided with notice; and

d. Constituted notice that fully satisfied the requirements of Rule 23, due process, and any other applicable law.

6. **Compliance with CAFA.** The Court additionally finds that the Settling Defendants have served proper notice under, and have complied in all other respects with, 28 U.S.C. § 1715(b) and the Class Action Fairness Act.

7. **Final Settlement Approval.** The terms and provisions of the Agreement, including all exhibits, have been entered into in good faith and as a result of arm's length negotiations, and the Agreement is fully and finally approved as fair, reasonable and adequate as to, and in the best interests of, each of the Parties and the DBNTC Trusts Settlement Class Members, and in full compliance with all applicable requirements of the laws of the state of Missouri, the United States Constitution (including the Due Process Clause), and any other applicable law. The Parties are hereby directed to implement and consummate the Agreement according to its terms and provisions.

8. **Binding Effect.** The terms of the Agreement and this Final Approval Order and Judgment shall be forever binding on all of the DBNTC Trusts Settlement Class Members and the Named Plaintiffs, individually and as representatives of said Class, as well as on their respective heirs, executors, administrators, assigns, predecessors, and successors, and any other person claiming by or through any or all of them. The terms of the Agreement and Final Approval Order and Judgment shall have *res judicata* and other preclusive effect as to the "Releasors" for the "Released Claims" as against the "Released Persons," all as defined in the Agreement.

9. **Releases.** The Releasors, as defined in Paragraph 2.31 of the Agreement, shall be bound by the Releases provided in Paragraph 6 of the Agreement, which is incorporated herein

in all respects, regardless of whether such persons received any compensation under the Agreement or Settlement. The Releases are effective as of the Effective Date specified in Paragraph 12 of the Agreement. The Court expressly adopts all defined terms in Paragraph 6 of the Agreement, including but not limited to, the definitions of the persons and claims covered by the Releases as set forth at Paragraphs 2.29 (Released Claims), 2.30 (Released Persons) and 2.31 (Releasers).

10. **Enforcement of Settlement.** Nothing in this Final Approval Order and Judgment shall preclude any action by any Party to enforce the terms of the Agreement.

11. **Additional Payment to the Named Plaintiffs.** The Court hereby awards the amounts listed on Schedule B (\$20,000.00 total) to be paid from the Settlement Fund to the Named Plaintiffs as incentive awards for their services as representatives of the DBNTC Trusts Settlement Class in this Litigation.

12. **Attorney's Fees and Expenses.** Plaintiffs' Counsel are awarded \$15,894.05, representing an allocated share of the litigation expenses and court costs that Plaintiffs' Counsel has incurred and advanced as of October 15, 2012 in connection with the Litigation and the Settlement, which shall be deducted from the Settlement Fund as defined in the Agreement. In addition, the Court awards Plaintiffs' Counsel Attorney's fees of \$433,847.68 representing approximately 45 % of the "Net Settlement Fund" as defined in the Agreement. The Court finds and concludes that each of the above awards to Plaintiffs' Counsel for work and services in this case and in connection with the Settlement is reasonable for the reasons stated in *Plaintiffs' Application for Award of Attorney's Fees, Litigation Expenses and Court Costs* (Doc. #900) and finds as follows:

a. The time and labor required to litigate this matter and obtain the

Settlement was extensive.

b. The legal issues raised in prosecuting the claims of the DBNTC Trusts Settlement Class were (and remain) complex and difficult.

c. The results obtained for the DBNTC Trusts Settlement Class are exceptional in light of the risks posed by the defenses asserted by the Settling Defendants to the DBNTC Trusts Settlement Class Members' claims. The Court specifically notes the *Common Fund Recovery* and the substantial benefits made available to the DBNTC Trusts Settlement Class. The results achieved are of paramount importance when considering the fee request and certainly justify the fee request.

d. The fee in this case was contingent. Class Counsel would have received no fee had they not been successful. In addition, Class Counsel risked large amounts of expenses and advances on the successful outcome of this matter.

e. No member of the DBNTC Trusts Settlement Class has objected to any aspect of the settlement and no members of the DBNTC Trusts Settlement Class have timely opted out or excluded themselves from the Settlement. The reaction of the Class to the Settlement has been unanimously favorable.

f. The litigation costs and expenses are also reasonable and equitable for a matter of this complexity and duration.

13. **No Other Payments.** The preceding paragraphs of this Final Approval Order and Judgment cover, without limitation, any and all claims for attorney's fees and expenses, costs or disbursements incurred by Plaintiffs' Counsel or any other counsel representing the Named Plaintiffs as representatives of the DBNTC Trusts Settlement Class or the DBNTC Trusts Settlement Class Members, or incurred by the DBNTC Trusts Settlement Class Members, in

connection with or related in any manner to this Litigation, the Settlement of this Litigation, the administration of such Settlement, and/or the Released Claims, except to the extent otherwise specified in this Final Approval Order and Judgment or the Agreement.

14. **Retention of Jurisdiction.** The Court has jurisdiction to enter this Final Approval Order and Judgment. Without in any way affecting the finality of this Final Approval Order and Judgment, this Court expressly retains jurisdiction as to all matters relating to the administration and enforcement of the Agreement and Settlement and of this Final Approval Order and Judgment, and for any other necessary purpose as permitted by Missouri law, including, without limitation:

a. enforcing the terms and conditions of the Agreement and Settlement and resolving any disputes, claims or causes of action that, in whole or in part, are related to the administration and/or enforcement of the Agreement, Settlement, this Final Approval Order and Judgment (including, without limitation, whether a person is or is not a member of the DBNTC Trusts Settlement Class or a DBNTC Trusts Settlement Class Member; and whether any claim or cause of action is or is not barred by this Final Approval Order and Judgment);

b. entering such additional Orders as may be necessary or appropriate to protect or effectuate the Court's Final Approval Order and Judgment and/or to ensure the fair and orderly administration of the Settlement and distribution of the Settlement Fund; and

c. entering any other necessary or appropriate Orders to protect and effectuate this Court's retention of continuing jurisdiction.



15. **No Admissions.** Neither this Final Approval Order and Judgment, nor the Agreement, nor any of its terms or provisions, nor any of the negotiations between the Parties or their counsel, nor any action taken to carry out this Final Approval Order and Judgment, is, may be construed as, or may be used as an admission or concession by or against any of the Parties or the Released Persons of: (a) the validity of any claim or liability, any alleged violation or failure to comply with any law, any alleged breach of contract, any legal or factual argument, contention or assertion; (b) the truth or relevance of any fact alleged by Plaintiffs; (c) the existence of any class alleged by Plaintiffs; (d) the propriety of class certification if the Litigation were to be litigated rather than settled; (e) the validity of any claim or any defense that has been or could have been asserted in the Litigation or in any other litigation; (f) that the consideration to be given to DBNTC Trusts Settlement Class Members hereunder represents the amount which could be or would have been recovered by any such persons after trial; or (g) the propriety of class certification in any other proceeding or action. Entering into or carrying out the Agreement, and any negotiations or proceedings related to it, shall not in any event be construed as, or deemed evidence of, an admission or concession as to the denials, defenses, factual or legal positions of the Settling Defendants and DBNTC Trusts, and shall not be offered or received in evidence in this litigation or any action or proceeding against any Party in any court, administrative agency or other tribunal for any purpose whatsoever, except as necessary (i) to enforce the terms of this Order and the Agreement or to prove or show that a compromise in settlement of the Released Claims per the Agreement, in fact, was reached, or (ii) to show, if appropriate, the recoveries obtained by the Named Plaintiffs and other DBNTC Trusts Class Members' hereunder, including, without limitation, the damages, attorney's fees award and costs; provided, however, that this Final Approval Order and Judgment and the Agreement may

be filed by the Settling Defendants and DBNTC Trusts in any action against or by the Settling Defendants, DBNTC Trusts or the Released Persons to support a defense of *res judicata*, collateral estoppel, release, waiver, good faith settlement, judgment bar or reduction, full faith and credit, or any other theory of claim preclusion, issue preclusion or similar defense or counterclaim.

16. **Dismissal of Litigation Against Settling Defendants and the DBNTC Trusts.**

This Litigation and all individual and class claims being asserted against Settling Defendants and the DBNTC Trusts, as the purchaser, assignee or owner and/or holder or servicer of the “PCC-DBNTC Trusts Loans” are dismissed with prejudice and without fees or costs to any Party, except as otherwise provided in the Agreement or this Final Approval Order and Judgment. The Litigation and all other claims and causes of action shall remain pending.

17. **Claims Reserved.** The dismissal of this Litigation and claims against the Settling Defendants and the DBNTC Trusts as provided in the Agreement and this Final Approval Order and Judgment shall in no way stay, bar, preclude, abate or otherwise operate as a dismissal, release, discharge or adjudication of any claims other than the Released Claims as to the Released Persons by the Releasers.

18. **Claims of Non-DBNTC Trusts Plaintiff Borrowers.** The Court finds and concludes that the “PCC Loans” of the “Non-DBNTC Trusts Plaintiff Borrowers” as defined in Paragraph 2.20 of the Agreement were not purchased by, assigned or conveyed to, or otherwise owned and/or held by or serviced by the Settling Defendants or the DBNTC Trusts and that, given this fact, as stipulated by the Parties, the Non-DBNTC Trusts Plaintiff Borrowers cannot recover any damages, penalties or other relief from the Settling Defendants or the DBNTC Trusts with respect to the PCC Loans. This finding and/or conclusion by the Court shall not be

deemed or construed as a holding that any of the Non-DBNTC Trusts Plaintiff Borrowers have in any way released any claims, of whatever type or kind, with respect to any PCC Loans or otherwise.

19. **Contribution, Indemnity and Other Claims.** All claims for contribution, indemnity and other claims over, whether asserted, unasserted or asserted in a representative capacity, inclusive of interest, taxes and costs, related to the Released Claims as defined in Paragraph 2.29 of the Agreement, which could have been brought in this Litigation by any person or party against a Released Person as defined in Paragraph 2.30 of the Agreement (unless such claim over is made with respect to a claim by a person or party who is not a Releaser as defined in Paragraph 2.31 of the Agreement), are permanently barred, prohibited and enjoined.

20. **No Just Reason for Delay.** The Court expressly determines that there is no just reason for delay for purposes of Fed.R.Civ.P. 54(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATE: March 6, 2013

/s/ Ortrie D. Smith  
ORTRIE D. SMITH, SENIOR JUDGE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT